

D. GILL SPERLEIN (172887)
THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN
584 Castro Street, Suite 879
San Francisco, California 94114
Telephone: (415) 404-6615
Facsimile: (415) 404-6616

gill@sperleinlaw.com

Attorney for plaintiff
IO GROUP, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IO GROUP, INC. d/b/a TITAN MEDIA, a
California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DOES 1-19, individuals,

Defendants.

)
) **CASE NO. 10-3851 (SI)**
)
) **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
) **ORDER RESETTING ORAL**
) **ARGUMENT DATE FOR**
) **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PROCEED**
) **ANONYMOUSLY**
)
) **Date: February 25, 2011**
) **Time: 9:00 a.m.**
) **CtRm: 10, 19th Fl.**
)
)
)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Io Group, Inc. and Defendant Doe 4, hereby
stipulate:

WHEREAS Doe's attorney scheduled Doe's Motion to Proceed Anonymously for
February 25, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.;

1 **WHEREAS** Plaintiff's counsel has a prepaid trip and will be in Washington D.C. from
2 February 20 – 27, 2011 to attend the First Amendment Lawyers Association and to be sworn into
3 the United States Supreme Court;

4 **WHEREAS** no previous time extensions have been requested or granted in this matter;
5
6 and

7 **WHEREAS** the rescheduling of this motion hearing should not affect the schedule of this
8 case;

9 The parties do therefore stipulate and agree as follows:

10 The argument currently scheduled for February 25, 2011 shall be rescheduled to March 4,
11 2011 at 9:00 a.m. or another date as the Court can accommodate;

12 Plaintiff's attorney agrees to keep Doe's information confidential until the Court has ruled
13 on Defendant Doe 4's Motion to proceed anonymously.
14
15

16
17 **SO STIPULATED.**
18
19
20

21 Dated: *January 14, 2011*

/s/ D. Gill Sperlein
D. Gill Sperlein
THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN
Attorney's for Plaintiff

22
23
24
25 Dated: *January 14, 2011*

/s/ Christina A. DiEdoardo
Christina A. DiEdoardo
The Law Offices of Christina A. DiEdoardo
Attorney's for Defendant Doe 4

1 I hereby attest pursuant to Northern District of California General Order No. 45 that the
2 concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.

3
4
5 Dated: *January 14, 2011*

/s/ D. Gill Sperlein

D. Gill Sperlein

THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN

Attorney's for Plaintiff

6
7
8
9 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

10
11 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13
14 

15 Dated: _____

HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE